From: Joe Provey <joeprovey@gmail.com> Sent: Friday, June 18, 2021 10:35 AM

**To:** Bachman, Melanie <Melanie.Bachman@ct.gov>; CSC-DL Siting Council <Siting.Council@ct.gov>; Daniel Donovan <ddonovan@nupowerllc.net>; walter.bonola@doosan.com; Bruce McDermott <br/>

Cc: proveymaryann@gmail.com; Vincent Aurelia <vinaurelia@gmail.com>; Monroe Hassell <monroehassell@gmail.com>; Ulises Fernandez <ulifer59@gmail.com>; James Young <jifryoung@gmail.com>; Yolanda Ramos <strega710@aol.com>; Adrienne Angel Free-Mulaire <adrienne.freemulaire@gmail.com>; Daniel Roach <daniel.roach@bridgeportct.gov>; Jorge Cruz <jorgecruz0712@gmail.com>; Taylor-Moye, Denese <Denese.Taylor-Moye@bridgeportct.gov>; dennis.buckley@bridgeportct.gov; mayor@bridgeportct.gov

Subject: Request for public hearing

Dear Ms. Bachmann,

Given the limited notice South End residents have had about the proposed fuel cell tower at 600 Iranistan, we are requesting a public hearing on the matter. Many unanswered questions remain even after the interrogatory process, during which the many of our questions went unanswered or received partial answers from NuPower and Doosan. For example, the questions about the view of the proposed facility from various vantage points can only truly be confirmed with the use of a balloon, which I understand is often part of the public hearing process for cell towers.

In addition, confusion persists about the facts relating to the plan. Within the past week alone, Nupower has gone from saying the facility could not be seen from Seaside Village (see Nupower response to CSC-NP Interrogatory no. 2) to saying it can be seen (see Nupower's attachment to my interrogatory no. 9, that includes an image showing the facility as represented by a red field of color). Nor has the petitioner determined or commented upon whether the fuel cell tower can be seen from the residences north of the Railroad Avenue (including the Division Street Historic District), from Wentfield Park, or from the new Windward Commons affordable housing being built on South Ave. and Iranistan Avenue. We have no renderings of those views, but we believe it would be visible from many, if not all, of these vantage points.

NuPower-Doosan has also made contradictory statements about the height of the tower, stating it was 70.5 feet tall and higher than the thruway in its petition 1406A (page 8) and then claiming it is 60 ft. tall and below the height of the thruway overpass during the June 1 Bridgeport Planning & Zoning meeting (a recording of the meeting is available during which Scott Guilmartin, Nupower's other employee, described the project).

NuPower-Doosan, and their lawyer Bruce McDermott, have consistently attempted to suppress the voice of the community, opposing our application for intervenor status, opposing our submission of over 200 signed petitions, and objecting to or ignoring our questions. We believe a public hearing would help the Siting Council members, along with our own local Planning & Zoning commissioners, get a clearer picture of the just how inappropriate this site is and how fervently the community is opposed to it.

Sincerely,

Joe Provey